

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/858,377	CHEOLAS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732	

All Participants:

(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.

(2) Nicole Pepper Graham.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Ex. Eashoo called Ms. Graham regarding the status of claim 14, which is indicated as "withdrawn" in the papers filed 05-FEB-04.
Ex. Eashoo proposed cancelling claim 14 by Exr. Amdt. Ms. Graham approved..
Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)